

OUR WAR MACHINE

Our 4th branch of government

Our war and drug industrial complex acts like a 4th branch of government with no oversight. It is run by a network of kindred-spirited people. This is power at its apex. The complex tricks people into fighting one another to generate money for the complex. The fighting also destabilizes the countries involved and weakens their economies and makes them easier to manipulate.

The recursive-thinking process of the war/drug industrial complex

The powers-to-be use a recursive-thinking process for defining priorities, objectives and goals in which the terms may be defined and redefined within their own definitions. It is a process of repeating actions and processes in a self-similar and self-serving way. When two wars are compared, no matter what the wars were fought over, or who fought whom, the benefits and beneficiaries of the wars are remarkably similar, if not the same. Remarkably, though, this is considered justifiable.

The deception of war

No group of intelligent people is going to wage war against another group, only to help beneficiaries that are only remotely connected to the combatants. So the beneficiaries must convince each group of combatants that each has a good reason to fight the other and that each will gain significantly from the likely outcome. Obviously only one group can win and the other must lose, at least in theory.

Allowing the war to continue

The beneficiaries may not want either side to win or lose, but to continue the war into perpetuity. The beneficiaries may fund both groups to get them to fight each other, and add and withhold funds as needed to keep the war going. This seems to happen quite frequently.

The disconnect between the basis of war and the benefits of war

The benefits to the beneficiaries have almost nothing to do with what the two groups are fighting about. For example, maintaining the US\$ for oil programme has nothing to do with the Torah, Quran, or Bible which often provide the basis for wars in the Middle-East. Also this type of perpetual instability has been done for decades with our 'war on drugs', as we have severely destabilized both the continents of North America and South America. By forcing Mexico, for example, to fight our drug war, we have essentially bankrupted it. Our goal is, and was, to have a weak neighbor who cannot threaten us. This must be, and must have been, our goal, since we have been doing it for decades and we have known what the result was going to be. Bankrupting our neighbor has no connection to the morality argument against drug abuse.

And even the drug fight itself has no reasonable connection to any cost-benefit analysis relating to drug abuse. But there must be a rational argument of connection, no matter how dumb, so we have connected the drug fight to a flimsy morality argument. Even uneducated Mexicans are not stupid enough to fight simply to help fund an industrial complex. So, by coercion and bribery and trickery, we have forced Mexicans to fight other Mexicans in order to benefit the industrial complex that thrives on this perpetual conflict.

The byproducts of war
(collateral damage)

Parts of Mexico are essentially war zones, similar to Iraq and Lebanon and Afghanistan. I am sure Hispanics would rather live in their own country, use their own language, use their own currency that is not devalued by the US drug war, and enjoy a good economy in their own country. They come (migrate) to the US because of the economic effect and danger that the US-induced war has produced in their country. This is something the news media will not touch with a 10-foot pole, though they do have time for debating sports. Every country ought to have open and serious debates on this.

one very disappointed citizen